

Biological Forum – An International Journal

15(11): 92-95(2023)

ISSN No. (Print): 0975-1130 ISSN No. (Online): 2249-3239

Generation Mean Analysis for Yield Related Traits in Bottlegourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (MOL.) Standl.]

Kavya V. Aribenchi^{1*}, Dileepkumar Masuthi², Vilas D. Gasti³, Vijaykumar Rathod⁴, Sanganabasav G. Gollagi⁵, Sayeedwajeed R. Mulla⁶ and Prashantha A.⁷

¹M.Sc. Scholar, Department of Vegetable Science, KRCCH, Arabhavi, Belagavi (Karnataka), India. ²Assistant Professor and Head, Department of Biotechnology and Crop Improvement, KRCCH, Arabhavi, Belagavi (Karnataka), India.

³Professor and Head, Department of Vegetable Science, KRCCH, Arabhavi, Belagavi (Karnataka), India.
 ⁴Associate Professor, Department of Vegetable Science, KRCCH, Arabhavi, Belagavi (Karnataka), India.
 ⁵Associate Professor, Department of Biotechnology and Crop Improvement, RHREC, Tidagundi, Bijapur.
 ⁶Assistant Professor, Department of Biotechnology and Crop Improvement, COH, Bagalkot (Karnataka), India.
 ⁷Assistant Professor, Department of Plant Pathology, KRCCH, Arabhavi, Belagavi (Karnataka), India.

(Corresponding author: Kavya V. Aribenchi*)

(Received: 15 September 2023; Revised: 30 October 2023; Accepted: 10 November 2023; Published: 15 November 2023) (Published by Research Trend)

ABSTRACT: Six generation mean analysis was carried out to investigate the inheritance of yield traits (Fruit length, fruit equatorial diameter, number of fruits per vine, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine, number of seeds per fruit and hundred seed weight) using two crosses of bottle gourd *viz.*, IC342079 \times IC4211962 and Arka Bahar \times IC4211962, parents, F₁, F₂, B₁ and B₂ generation in the *kharif* season of 2023 at Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Belagavi district (Karnataka), India. Results indicated that dominance \times dominance gene effect was predominant in cross IC342079 \times IC4211962 for average fruit weight and fruit yield per vine. Whereas, additive, additive x dominance and dominance \times dominance gene effect were predominant in cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962 for average fruit weight. For fruit yield per vine additive \times dominance and dominance \times dominance gene interactions in cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962 were found predominant.

Keywords: Lagenaria siceraria, Gene effects, Epistasis, Gene interactions, Generation Mean Analysis.

INTRODUCTION

Bottle gourd [Lagenaria siceraria (Mol.) Standl.] is an important gourd crop having wide range of users and is largely cultivated in the tropics and subtropics for its edible fruits. It is widely grown cucurbit of the world (Hidayatullah et al., 2012). The variation occurs in cultivated type existing among the genotypes is less and the availability of genetic material also makes it important. Since the crop is a traditional one, many possibilities of exploring, like the characterization of the plants at different geographical location by chemical markers can be a tool (Masuthi et al., 2015a), physical characterization (Shridhar et al, 2019) and genetic diversity (Sulochana et al., 2018). The only means of crop improvements is not only the breeding systems but may also be also by interference with seed endophytes by the different priming treatments for better crop and seed quality (Masuthi et al., 2015b), also by preserving the seeds by storage studies to retain and make available the viable seed (Bhavya et al., 2017), and by pelleting with micronutrients and botanicals on growth, seed yield and quality of vegetable cowpea (Masuthi et al., 2009). Mutation breeding as a potent and beneficial tool in plant breeders to create variation, can be the other potential

works on crop improvement that can be explored. The cultivated crops have drastic impact with respect to the environment. The plants will have to face pest and disease risk, which can be managed by the practices to tackle the diseases. The management of dieback at all stages of observation was to be done. Similarly, the per cent disease index recorded in other crops on die back of chilli revealed that significantly least per cent disease index (Kareem *et al.*, 2016a) for die back and chlorothalonil spray for fruit rot (Kareem *et al.*, 2016b). In recent years crops attacked by gall formers causing more economic loss (Chethan *et al.*, 2022). These are some of the challenges that now a days agriculture is facing.

In India Bottle gourd it is popular in Northern part of the country especially in Bihar and Uttar Pradesh. Though, there is a wide range of genetic variability available in India, not much attention has been given to the genetical studies and crop improvement. Estimation of genetic parameters is needed to understand the genetic architecture of yield and yield contributing components. Information about type of gene action of yield and yield contributing components would be of immense help for a plant breeder to decide about the proper breeding procedure to be adopted.

Kavya et al., Biological Forum – An International Journal 15(11): 92-95(2023)

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment comprising of two crosses viz., IC342079 \times IC4211962 and Arka Bahar \times IC4211962 of bottle gourd each having two parents, F11, F2 and two backcrosses were laid out in a randomized block design with two replications during kharif season of 2023 at Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Belagavi district (Karnataka), India. The different parents used were IC342079, IC4211962 and Arka Bahar. Seeds were sown in rows spaced 3m with spacing of 90cm between plants. In each replication five plants in each parent, 10 plants in each back cross and F₁ hybrid and 50 plants in each F₂ were taken for study. The normal recommended cultural practices were adopted during experimentation. The characters studied were fruit length, fruit equatorial diameter, number of fruits per vine, average fruit weight, fruit yield per vine, number of seeds per fruit and hundred seed weight. The estimates of six genetic parameters namely mean (m), additive (d), dominance (*h*), additive \times additive (*i*), additive \times dominance (*j*) and dominance \times dominance (l) were worked out by analysing and partitioning the means of all the six generations by adopting the methods proposed by Jinks and Jones (1958) as well as Hayman (1958).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The estimates of gene effects of different yield traits are presented in Table 1.

Fruit length. In cross IC342079 × IC4211962, dominance gene effects was significant for this trait, among non-allelic interactions only additive × additive (i) was found significant. Duplicate type of epistasis was found to be operating. Whereas, Ram et al. (1997) reported significance of h and l in bitter gourd. Hence, it is appropriate to follow reciprocal recurrent selection. In cross Arka Bahar × IC4211962, additive and dominance gene effects were non-significant for this trait, among non-allelic interactions additive \times dominance (j) and dominance \times dominance (l) were found significant, along with duplicate type of epistasis. Hence, recurrent selection would be appropriate breeding method in improving the trait using this cross. Fruit equatorial diameter. In cross IC342079 \times IC4211962, both additive and dominance gene effects were significant. Among the epistatic interactions, additive \times additive (i) and dominance x dominance (l) showed significance for the trait. The same signs of hand l indicated complementary type of non-allelic interaction. Hence, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection can be suggested for improvement of this trait. In cross Arka Bahar × IC4211962, only dominance type of gene effect was significant. Among the epistatic interactions, additive \times additive (i) and additive x dominance (*j*) showed significance, The opposite signs of h and l revealed the operation of duplicate type of epistasis. Earlier Dineshkumar (2001) also reported dominance gene action and additive x additive and additive \times dominance epistatic interactions for the trait in cucumber. Therefore, reciprocal recurrent would be fruitful for the improvement of the trait.

is the most important yield component, which ultimately determines the productivity of the crop. All types of gene effects (allelic and non-allelic) were found non-significant in cross IC342079 \times IC4211962. Heterosis breeding can be followed to improve the character and it is also important to use other genetic stocks for improvement of fruit number per vine, in Cross-I. Both additive and dominance gene effects were significant. Among the epistatic interactions, only additive \times additive (i) was significant in cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962. The same signs of h and l indicated complementary type of non-allelic interaction. Earlier, Singh et al. (1976) also reported d, h and i epistatic interactions for the trait in muskmelon. Because of the presence of both additive and dominance gene effects along with presence of epistasis. Hence, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection proves beneficial for enhancing this trait.

Average fruit weight. Fruit weight is one of the key vield components, which is positively associated with vield. Hence, giving importance to the fruit weight would be useful for achieving useful results. Among all allelic and non-allelic gene effects only dominance \times dominance (non-allelic) gene effect was significant in cross IC342079 \times IC4211962 with duplicate epistasis. Hence, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection would be useful in achieving lines with higher fruit weight. In Arka Bahar \times IC4211962, additive gene effect, additive \times dominance and dominance \times dominance type of non-allelic interactions were found significant along with duplicate type of epistasis. Earlier Singh et al. (1976) also reported d, i and j gene effects for the trait in muskmelon. Therefore, recurrent selection would be fruitful for the improvement of the trait.

Fruit yield per vine. Both additive and dominance gene effects were not significant. Among the epistatic interactions, only dominance \times dominance (*l*) showed significance for the trait along with the duplicate type of epistasis in cross IC342079 \times IC4211962. Therefore, employing breeding approaches like heterosis breeding or recurrent selection is advisable for enhancing this trait. In cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962, only additive \times dominance (*j*) and dominance \times dominance (*l*) type of non-allelic gene interactions were found significant. Duplicate type of epistasis was found operating. Therefore, recurrent selection would be fruitful for the improvement of the trait.

Number of seeds per fruit. In cross IC342079 \times IC4211962, additive and dominance gene effect and additive \times additive type of non-allelic gene interactions were significant along with duplicate type of epistasis. Because of the presence of both additive and dominance gene effects along with presence of epistasis, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection proves to be a beneficial strategy for improving this trait. In cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962 both additive and dominance gene effects were non-significant. Among the non-allelic interactions, only dominance \times dominance was found significant. Duplicate type of epistasis was found to be operating. Hence, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection can be adopted for the improvement of the trait.

Number of fruits per vine. Number of fruits per vine

Hundred seed weight. Dominance gene effect was found significant. Among the non-allelic interaction, only dominance \times dominance was significant along with the complementary type of epistasis in cross IC342079 \times IC4211962. Therefore, heterosis breeding or recurrent selection would be beneficial in the improvement of trait. In cross Arka Bahar \times IC4211962, additive gene effect was significant. Among the non-allelic interactions only dominance \times dominance showed significance for the trait along with duplicate type of non-allelic interaction. Therefore, recurrent selection can be suggested for the improvement of the trait.

Table 1: Estimation of gene effects for different yield traits in two crosses of bottle gourd.

Sr. No	Character	Crosses	m	SE	d	SE	h	SE	i	SE	j	SE	l	SE	Type of epistasis
1.	Fruit length (cm)	C-I	22.77**	0.53	0.83 ^{NS}	1.79	-3.61*	4.31	-12.80*	4.17	3.00 ^{NS}	3.61	23.63 ^{NS}	7.81	D
		C-II	22.68^{*}	0.82	0.65 ^{NS}	1.33	9.38 ^{NS}	4.34	8.05 ^{NS}	4.21	-6.18*	3.06	-7.78*	6.57	D
2.	Fruit equatorial	C-I	13.06**	0.19	0.57^{*}	0.52	-3.29*	1.34	-2.32*	1.29	2.95 ^{NS}	1.15	-5.53*	2.35	С
	diameter (cm)	C-II	14.25**	0.37	0.00 ^{NS}	0.49	-4.41*	1.85	-4.68*	1.78	-0.07*	1.24	2.17 ^{NS}	2.66	D
3.	Number of fruits	C-I	8.36**	0.09	-1.05 ^{NS}	0.27	-1.14 ^{NS}	0.74	-4.14 ^{NS}	0.66	-1.10 ^{NS}	0.72	1.24 ^{NS}	1.33	D
	per vine	C-II	8.21**	0.10	0.15^{*}	0.23	0.86^{*}	0.67	0.46^{*}	0.62	-1.60 ^{NS}	0.62	1.24 ^{NS}	1.15	С
4.	Average fruit	C-I	455.90 ^{NS}	13.42	30.00 ^{NS}	61.14	369.90 ^{NS}	138.12	328.40 ^{NS}	133.53	138.00 ^{NS}	136.87	-673.40**	260.13	D
	weight (g)	C-II	526.33 ^{NS}	18.45	-48.40**	59.96	131.88 ^{NS}	150.87	141.48 ^{NS}	140.81	-130.00**	133.53	-229.08**	273.33	D
5.	Fruit yield per	C-I	3.61**	0.09	-1.19 ^{NS}	0.19	-1.06 ^{NS}	0.59	-2.26 ^{NS}	555.91	-1.93 ^{NS}	0.45	0.67^{*}	0.97	D
	vine (kg)	C-II	3.33**	0.11	-0.43 ^{NS}	0.49	3.75 ^{NS}	1.17	3.74 ^{NS}	1.08	-2.84*	1.08	-2.15*	2.22	D
6.	Number of seeds	C-I	419.15 ^{NS}	13.53	-1.10*	36.76	-76.30**	99.19	-29.20**	91.29	45.70 ^{NS}	90.16	144.20 ^{NS}	174.82	D
	per fruit	C-II	409.74 ^{NS}	14.02	37.15 ^{NS}	45.35	109.84 ^{NS}	113.65	85.94 ^{NS}	106.63	179.00 ^{NS}	102.71	-103.64**	205.52	D
7.	Hundred seed	C-I	18.16**	0.29	-0.45 ^{NS}	0.79	-0.64*	2.07	0.06 ^{NS}	1.95	1.60 ^{NS}	1.87	-2.36*	3.6	С
	weight (g)	C-II	17.80**	0.28	0.55^{*}	0.88	2.10 ^{NS}	2.24	1.50 ^{NS}	2.07	1.00 ^{NS}	2.17	-2.80^{*}	4.05	D

 $\label{eq:significant} \text{*Significant at 5\% level} \quad \text{C-I} = \text{Cross-I} (\text{IC342079} \times \text{IC4211962}); \\ \text{SE} = \text{Standard error}; \\ \text{C} = \text{Complementary type of epistasis} \\ \text{Complementary type of epistasis} \\ \text{Complementary type of epistasis} \\ \text{C} = \text{Complementary type of epistasis} \\ \text{C} = \text{Complementary type of epistasis} \\ \text{C} = \text{C} = \text{C} \\ \text{C} \\ \text{C} = \text{C} \\ \text{C} \\ \text{C} = \text{C} \\ \text{C$

**Significant at 1% level; C-II = Cross-II (Arka Bahar × IC4211962); NS = Non-significant; D = duplicate type of epistasis

m = mean, d = additive, h = dominance, i = additive \times additive, j = additive \times dominance, l = dominance \times dominance

CONCLUSIONS

Generation mean analysis and heterobeltiosis in conjunction with negligible inbreeding depression, revealed presence of fixable gene action with interactions for yield suggesting simple selection or pedigree selection. Traits showing complex inheritance can be improved through reciprocal recurrent selection or by intermating with in the population.

FUTURE SCOPE

— The best selected traits can be utilized for development of inbred or varieties

— Screening for downy mildew and fruit fly can be undertaken on inbred lines

— Molecular studies can be taken up for further confirmation of results and improvement of characters

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the Department of Vegetable Science, Biotechnology and Crop Improvement and Plant pathology, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi for facilitating the project work.

Conflict of interest. None.

REFERENCES

- Bhavya, N. Naik, Masuthi, D. and Sabarad, A. (2017). Studies on effect of different storage conditions on viability of karonda seeds. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 6(9), 1057-1066.
- Chethan, G. B., M. H. Tatagar, J. B. Gopali, Dileep Masuthi, V. Rathod and K. Sumangala (2023). Survey on the incidence of chilli gall formers and their Natural enemies in major chilli growing districts, J. Exp. Zool. India, 26(1), 455-459.
- Dineshkumar, G. D. (2001). Genetics of yield and yield components in cucumber (*Cucumis sativus* L.). *M.Sc. (Hort.) Thesis*, Univ. Agric. Sci., Bangalore.

- Hayman, B. I. (1958). The separation of epistatic from additive and dominance variation in generation means. *Heredity*, 12, 371-390.
- Hidayatullah, M. T., Farooq, M., Khokhar, M. A., Hussain, S. I. (2012). Plant growth regulators affecting sex expression of bottle gourd (*Lagenaria siceraria* Molina) plants. *Pakistan J. Agric. Res.*, 25(1), 50-54.
- Jinks, J. L. and R. M. Jones (1958). Estimation of components of heterosis. *Genetics*, 43, 223-234.
- Kareem, M. A., Tatagar, M. H., Kurubetta, K. D., Masuthi,
 D. and M. A. Waseem (2016b). Evaluation of bioefficacy and phytotoxicity of chlorothalonil 75%
 WP against fruit rot of chilli., *J. Pure Appl. Microbiol.*, 10(4), 2909-2911.
- Kareem, M. A., Tatagar, M. H., Kurubetta, K. D., Mesta, R., Masuthi, D. and Waseem, M. A. (2016a). Evaluation of bio-efficacy and phytotoxicity of pyraclostrobin 20% WG against anthracnose disease chilli. J. Pure Appl. Microbiol., 10(3), 2397-2399.
- Masuthi, D. A., Vyakaranahal, B. S. and Deshpande, V. K. (2009). Influence of pelleting with micronutrients and botanical on growth, seed yield and quality of vegetable cowpea. *Karnataka Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 22(4), 898-900.
- Masuthi, D., Chavan, M. L., Ramangouda, S. H., Abdul Kareen, M., Prabhakar, I. and Haleshkumar, B. (2015b). Different priming treatments on germination and viability of cluster bean seeds. *Int. j. adv. res.*, 3(5), 108-111.
- Masuthi, D., Vyakarnahal, B. S., Deshpande, V. K., Jagadeesha, R. C., Mukesh, L. C. and Khaja Rubina, S. (2015a). Characterization of traditional aromatic rice cultivars by chemical markers. *Int. J. Adv. Res.*, 3(5), 103-107.
- Ram, D., Kalloo, G. and Singh, M. (1997). Inheritance of quantitative characters in bitter gourd (*Momordica charantia* L.). Veg. Sci., 24(1), 45-48.
- Sharavati, B., Vijayakumar, R. L., Vilas, D. G., Ramachandra, N., Mukesh, C., Shashikant, E., Prashantha, A., Mahantesha, Dileepkumar, M. (2023). Standardization of lethal dose (ld50) value

Kavya et al.,

for Induction of mutation in *Momordica balsamina*, *SCI- 3*(3), 353-358.

- Singh, D., K. S. Nandapuri and Sharma, B. R. (1976). Inheritance of some economic quantitative characters in an inter-varietal cross of muskmelon (*Cucumis melo L.*). J. Res., 13(2), 172-176.
- Sridhar, M. Y., K. H. Nataraja, Nagesh Naik, D. Satish, A. Dileepkumar (2019). Identification of Elite Wood

apple Genotypes for Physical Characters in Selected Districts of Karnataka, India. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(9), 1999-2004.

Sulochana, J., Masuthi, D. A., Naika, M. B., Tatagar, M. H. and Koulagi, S. (2018). Estimation of genetic diversity in fenugreek (*Trigonella foenum graecum* L.) genotypes for productivity traits. *IJCS*, 6(5), 2701-2703.

How to cite this article: Kavya V. Aribenchi, Dileepkumar Masuthi, Vilas D. Gasti, Vijaykumar Rathod, Sanganabasav G. Gollagi, Sayeedwajeed R. Mulla and Prashantha A. (2023). Generation Mean Analysis for Yield Related Traits in Bottlegourd [*Lagenaria siceraria* (MOL.) Standl.]. *Biological Forum – An International Journal*, *15*(11): 92-95.